

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/656,195	ANDREEV ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sudhanshu C. Pathak	2611	

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: afternoon

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

9-10, 12 & 15

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amend the claims so as to be consistent with Claim 1

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)